CLUSTER: EARLY CHILDHOOD TRANSITION

OBJECTIVE: Transition planning results in needed supports and services, available and provided as appropriate, to a child and the child's family when the child exits Part C.

RELATED MISSOURI PERFORMANCE GOAL(S):

The performance level of children who receive special education services prior to age 5 will increase on the School Entry Profile.

Notes:

- Components and indicators marked with an "*" are included in Cluster Lite.
- Related professional development is listed under the indicators. For descriptions of the professional development, please refer to the Comprehensive System of Professional Development section.
- General notes about the data analyzed in this report can be found in the Data Explanations section.

COMPONENT C/BT.1*: Do all children exiting Part C receive the services they need by their third birthday?

Overview Answer: Missouri does not currently have much data pertaining to children exiting from First Steps, however this is changing due to the redesign of the First Steps program. Future data collected will identify where there are gaps in the provision of appropriate services and at that point actions will be taken to provide seamless services as children transition from Part C to Part B. See the Self-Assessment Process section for information on the First Steps redesign.

Strengths: Phase I of the First Steps Redesign began in April 2002 in five areas of the state. Phase 2 includes the rest of the state and is scheduled to be in place by February 2003. The redesign requires standardized forms be used statewide and requires the use of a child data system which will allow DESE to monitor data on an ongoing basis. This data includes exiting and transition information. The Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP) now includes a transition checklist. A follow-up parent survey will be conducted six months after exiting the First Steps program. The survey will include a question related to the child's status on the third birthday. Professional development in this area includes the transition module of the First Steps training and the Transition Handbook for parents.

Missouri has a wide range of options available for early education. Local Interagency Coordinating Councils (LICC) provides opportunities for linkages and networking at the local level.

Areas of Concern: Missouri does not have an individual student record system for Part B, therefore we do not have a good method of tracking children as they exit Part C into Part B. Therefore, it is very likely that there are children who do not get into appropriate services by age three, however the Part C follow-up survey will provide data in this area. Development of a cost-effective, efficient method to collect survey results is needed.

Other Comments: Additional data is needed from the Child Care Resource and Referral Network and/or the Department of Health and Senior Services about the number of licensed and unlicensed child care facilities/homes in Missouri as well as information from Missouri Accreditation about the number of accredited preschool programs in Missouri. MPACT data on First Steps Transition training should be collected through a survey distributed at the end of training.

It is the recommendation of this committee that every school district that provides early childhood special education (ECSE) services be required to send a representative to the Transition Module offered by the First Steps training system.

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED

SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT STATUS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR THIS QUESTION

C/BT.1.1: Is training provided jointly to Part B and C providers and parents regarding the transition process?

Data Sources:

- Missouri First Steps Redesign Project: Final Report and Recommendations (9/99)
- Part C State Regulations and Part B State Plan for Special Education
- First Steps Transition Handbook

Related CSPD:

- First Steps Module 4 Movin' On: Transition Into, Within, and From First Steps Service Coordination
- First Steps Bulletins
- Missouri Parents' Act (MPACT)
- New Scripts Early Intervention/ Early Childhood Systems Change in Personnel Preparation

Data Summary:

Farly Intervention Skills and Knowledge Inventory

	Larry	intervention s	Jillis alla illic	wicage inve		
No Response	Minimal Under- standing	Competent, but would like more training	Competent	Highly Skilled, can teach others	Does Not Apply to me	Question
Feel compe Steps syste		sing transition o	ptions with fam	nilies as they p	orepare to ex	kit the First
22% (39)	4% (7)	11% (20)	25% (45)	9% (16)	28% (50)	54
Understand	the eligibilit	y criteria of Par	t B preschool s	special educa	tion.	
23% (41)	9% (16)	22% (39)	12% (21)	6% (11)	28% (50)	55
		ze, develop and t the continuum			for individua	l families
27% (48)	6% (11)	17% (30)	19% (34)	3% (5)	28% (50)	56

Source: Missouri First Steps Redesign Project

Number of Respondents: 179 service providers for First Steps

Committee Conclusions:

Joint training is not required, however transition policy is consistent in the Part C and B State Regulations, which provide continuity for joint training. All First Steps service coordinators and service providers are required to participate in a 1-day intensive training module on the topic of transition from Part C. This training will also be available to parents, local school district staff and other community-based program staff. No data is available regarding participation by specific groups at this time. First Steps materials (Transition Handbook) for parents have been developed, but no data has been collected pertaining to the numbers disseminated. More information about the specific training needs of both Part B and Part C providers needs to be gathered.

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED	SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT STATUS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR THIS QUESTION						
C/BT.1.2*: Are all children eligible for Part B services receiving special education and related services by their third birthday?	Data Summary: Monitoring Indicator	· 101510 - IEP i		Monitoring Data			
Data Sources: • Monitoring data • ECSE Web Application data –			# Districts monitored on this standard	# Districts out of compliance (initial)		# Districts out of compliance Follow-up2	
First Step Referrals		FY1999	68	2	0		
 Missouri First Steps Redesign 		FY2000	48	4	0		
Project: Final Report and		FY2001	62	4	Incomplete		
Recommendations (9/99)	Monitoring Indicator		# Districts monitored on this standard	# Districts out of compliance (initial)	# Districts out of compliance Follow-up1	eeting # Districts out of compliance Follow-up2	
		FY1999	65	2	0		
		FY2000	72	2	0		
		FY2001	87	1	Incomplete		

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED	SUMMARI	ZE THE CURRE	IT STATUS	AND CON	ICLUSION	S FOR THIS	QUESTION	
C/BT.1.2*: Concluded		Re	errals from	First Step	os (Part C)			
				1	999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002 (Estimated)	
	Number of F school year	irst Step Referral	s since end	of last	1,210	1,632	1,784	
		er of First Steps l nat were ECSE el		mber	1,001	1,315	1,420	
	Percent of children being served 82.7% 80.6% Source: ECSE Web Application						79.6%	
	I attended an IEP meeting that decided my child's eligibility for preschool special education services BEFORE my child turned age three.							
		No Response	Yes	No	Unsure	Question		
	12% (9) 53% (39) 26% (19) 8% (6) 37 Number of Respondents: 73							
	Committee Conclusions: Missouri does not currently that children with disabilities does show some discrepand of the various collections (Pyear), however further analy and data management systechildhood and school age possible. Second Cycle Part B monito from First Steps indicates the compliance after their first for A survey showed that 53% of does not indicate the number of the control of the	have the data to one who are Part B excies in numbers. art C OSEP reported is needed to one mare presently loopulations. The pring data in regardant the majority of collow-up.	definitively ardigible when These discret is on a calculation of the color of the termine the termi	they exit Fepancies of endar year e reasons d so that me being in properties of the compliance of the	Part C go of an be explained while ECS for the disconitoring department of the control of the co	n to receive Pained in part I E web applicate repancies. The ata can be disented in the ata can be disented in the anitial compliar	Part B services. The day the differing time per ation data is on a fiscal the compliance standal saggregated for both er any for children transition the review and that all	ata eriods Il Irds early ning are in

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED	SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT STATUS AND C	ONCLUSION	IS FOR THI	S QUESTIC
C/BT.1.3*: Are all children not eligible for services under Part B receiving other appropriate services by their third	Data Summary:			
	Reasons for Exit due to Part C	Program Co	mpletion	
irthday?		1999	2000	2001
intilday :	Completion of IFSP prior to reaching			
Data Sources:	maximum age for Part C	4.5%	12.8%	10.1%
Part C Exit Data (OSEP Table 3)	Part B eligible	72.5%	69.8%	61.6%
Talt o Exit Bala (COLT Table 3)	Not eligible for Part B, exit to other programs	14.5%	6.3%	16.9%
	Not eligible for Part B, exit with no referrals	2.2%	6.0%	1.9%
	Part B eligibility not determined	6.3%	5.1%	9.4%
	Committee Conclusions: Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicate the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligi	without a refe other service: relative to ch	erral to other s by his/her uildren not el	r programs. third birthda
C/BT.1.4: What opportunities for	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary:	without a refe other service: relative to ch bility criteria t	erral to other is by his/her hildren not el for Part B.	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa
ommunity-based services are available	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligi	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria f	erral to other is by his/her hildren not el for Part B.	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa
mmunity-based services are available r children exiting Part C and not	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary:	without a refeother service: relative to che bility criteria f	erral to other s by his/her iildren not el for Part B. ool Progra	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa ms er of
ommunity-based services are available r children exiting Part C and not igible for Part B and have these	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary:	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria f	erral to other is by his/her hildren not el for Part B.	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa ms er of hool
mmunity-based services are available children exiting Part C and not gible for Part B and have these portunities increased as a result of	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary:	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria for the book presched Number of Preschool	erral to other s by his/her iildren not el for Part B. ool Progra Numbe Presci	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa ms er of hool ams
mmunity-based services are available children exiting Part C and not gible for Part B and have these cortunities increased as a result of going program evaluation?	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary:	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria for the body Presch Number of Preschool Programs	erral to other s by his/her iildren not el for Part B. cool Progra Numbe Presc Progra	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa ms er of hool ams wide
nmunity-based services are available children exiting Part C and not gible for Part B and have these cortunities increased as a result of going program evaluation?	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary:	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria for the code of t	erral to other s by his/her hildren not el for Part B. Ool Progra Number Presci Progra Statev	r programs. third birthda ligible for Pa ms er of hool ams wide
nmunity-based services are available children exiting Part C and not ible for Part B and have these cortunities increased as a result of poing program evaluation? Ta Sources: School Entry Profile report	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicated the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligitate Data Summary: Summary Chart of Early Childle	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria for the control of t	erral to other s by his/her nildren not el for Part B. Number Presce Progra Statev 200 0 1 Un	ms er of hool ams wide 01 161 known
mmunity-based services are available children exiting Part C and not gible for Part B and have these cortunities increased as a result of going program evaluation? ta Sources: School Entry Profile report Various program counts	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicate the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligi Data Summary: Summary Chart of Early Childle Missouri Preschool Project Head Start Title I	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria for the bility cr	erral to other s by his/her nildren not el for Part B. Number Presce Progra Statev 200 0 1 Un	ms er of hool ams wide 01 161 known 3 LEAs
ommunity-based services are available r children exiting Part C and not igible for Part B and have these opportunities increased as a result of ingoing program evaluation? ata Sources: School Entry Profile report	Missouri does not have the data to definitively answer this Indicate the children who are not eligible for Part B services leave Part C available to indicate whether or not the child is actually receiving system for the redesigned First Steps program includes exit data Missouri's eligibility criteria for Part C is more restrictive than eligi Data Summary: Summary Chart of Early Childle Missouri Preschool Project Head Start	without a refeother services relative to che bility criteria for the control of t	erral to other s by his/her nildren not el for Part B. OOI Progra Number Presce Progra Statev 200 0 1 178	ms er of hool ams wide 01 161 known

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED	SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT STATUS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR THIS QUESTION					
C/BT.1.4: Continued	School Entr	y Profile* FY2000				
		Special Education Only	Special Education, PAT & Preschool			
	Preparation for Kindergarten	91.2	98.8			
	Conventional Knowledge	90.8	96.8			
	Learning to Learn	86.3	95.8			
	Working with Others	85.8	96.1			
	Mathematical/Physical Knowledge	85.1	96.1			
	Communication Symbolic Development	88.8 88.1	95.9 95.4			
	Symbolic Development *The mean standardized scale score is					
	Since 1992, the percent of eligible families served by Pare 2001. This program is available for any family, but in receincrease services for the most needy of families. PAT proneeds can be identified and addressed before children en "By far the most consistent theme to emerge, in both the sfew or no options to consider as they plan their child's trarrate, it is clear that parents want greater flexibility and a ground Source: Research Report – Missouri's First Steps Program Committee Conclusions:	ent years, the state had by ides screenings so a state kindergarten. Survey and the interviousition from First Step reater range of choice am	as redirected some PAT that developmental dela ews, is that parents per is to Early Childhood se es for possible solutions	resources to ays and health ceive they have ervices. At any s for their child."		
	Since the passage of Senate Bill 740 which required school eligible 3-4 year olds effective 1991, the options for provid settings has increased. For example, in 1990, 57 districts number has increased to 178 in 2001. Data also indicates preschools in 1990 and the number is now 14. (It is suspet the past and continue to be under-reported, however we see Missouri legislators passed House Bill 1519, establishing in 1998. DESE's portion of these funds is used to provide children in Missouri.	ling services to prescles used Title I funding to see that ten districts proected that these progestill believe there has the Early Childhood E	hool age children in "rector provide preschool provided preschool provided district funded or rams have been very undeen an increase in nurbevelopment Education	gular education" ograms, and this tuition based nder-reported in mbers.) Also, and Care Fund		

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED	SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT STATUS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR THIS QUESTION						
C/BT.1.4: Concluded	nile the overall number of programs has been increasing, based on information at the county and/or district level, ere are six counties and large portions of at least 15 other counties that do not have Title I, MPP or district eschools. Information on licensed childcare facilities in these areas has not been collected. hool Entry Assessment findings indicate that teachers rate special needs children who participate in PAT and eschool in addition to an early childhood special education program as being similar in preparation to non-disabled lidren.						
C/BT.1.5: What data indicates the family's satisfaction level with the	Data Summary: Transition Questionnaire and Average Responses						
transition process?	Preparing for the Transition	Average Response					
Data Sources:	Our Early Intervention Team helped develop a transition plan	1.7					
 Research Report – Missouri's 	Transition planning began early enough to allow for a smooth transition	2.0					
First Steps Program (6/99)	I had choices for where my child would go	2.3					
 Missouri First Steps Redesign Project: Final Report and 	I had enough information to make an informed decision about where my child would go	2.1					
Recommendations (9/99)	I was able to visit new programs and meet the staff	2.0					
	I had a chance to talk to other parents of children in the program before enrolling	2.9					
	My child spent time in the new program interacting with the staff	2.3					
	Transition planning made changing programs easier for my child and my family	2.1					
	The Transition						
	I felt the new staff wanted my child in the program and communicated that	1.7					
	I felt welcomed by the new program	1.6					
	My child made an easy adjustment to the new program	1.8					
	Our family made an easy adjustment to the new program	1.8					
	The old and new programs shared information with me and with each other	2.0					
	After the Transition						
	I felt supported by other parents throughout this transition process	2.8					
	I feel good about the decisions I made regarding my child's transition	1.7					
	I felt good about the decisions others made regarding my child's transition	1.8					
	On the whole the transition process was positive	1.9					
	My opinions and feelings about the transition were respected and responded to	1.8					
	1=Strongly Agree, 2=Agree, 3=Disagree, 4=Strongly Disagree Source: Research Report – Missouri's First Steps Program						

LIST THE QUESTIONS THE COMMITTEE STUDIED AND THE DATA SOURCES REVIEWED	SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT STATUS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR THIS QUESTION								
C/BT.1.5: Concluded	Missouri First Steps Redesign Project Family Evaluation (Exited Families)								
	Transition was a positive experience.								
		No Response	Yes	No	Unsure	Question	n		
		25% (18)	52% (38)	11% (8)	12% (9)	36			
		Number of Respor	dents: 73						
	Committee Conclusions: Research reports from 199 Overall, the results surveys also indica with the quality of to 38 of 55 of exited for did not report a pos	99 indicated the fol of family surveys i ted that a vital con he relationships be amilies reported th	n 1999 indica ponent of the tween thems at transition v	e families' s elves and th vas a positiv	atisfaction ne various /e experier	with the tra	ansition pro involved.	cess had to	
C/BT.1.6*: What is the percentage of children leaving Part C services who	Data Summary: Special Education Placement Data								
are placed in settings with typically			20			2001 2002			
developing children?		Age	3	4	3	4	3	4	
Data Sources:	Home		4.56%	 	5.43%	3.48%	4.12%	3.29%	
• 618 data	Itinerant - Outside		12.91%		12.80%		17.55%		
	Early Childhood S		21.07%	†	19.09%	25.54%	14.18%		
	EC Special Educ	ation Setting	50.77%	†	53.62%	49.00%	55.48%		
	Separate School		6.27%	1	5.26%	3.85%	3.18%		
	PT EC / PT ECSI		4.42%	 	3.71%	4.17%	5.45%	4.98%	
	Residential Facili	,	0.00%	1	0.09%	0.12%	0.04%		
	I otal Ear	ly Childhood:	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	Committee Conclusions: At this time, data is only average placement data does not describe. The biggest probability of the redesigned First Steps When this data is available.	vailable for children elearly identify the publem with the interpuble d Special Education ri currently cannot s program will be a	ercentage of retation of th n Settings ev break out this ble to provide	children whis data is the en though the data.	no are plac at children hese childr und follow-u	ed in settin in reverse en are in s	gs with typ mainstrear ettings with	ically develo n classroom ı typically	